Unique project identifier:		St Paul's gyratory transformation project (Greyfric			Greyfriars	┙	PM's overall risk rating: estimated cost (exec risk):	Medium		CRP requested this gateway Total CRP used to date	£ 317,000		Average unmitigated risk risk score			5.5			Open Risks Closed Risks		4	
General risk cl Risk Gatewa ID		Description of the Risk	Risk Impact Description	Likelihood Classification n pre- mitigation	Impact Classification n pre- mitigation	Risk score	Costed impact premitigation (£)	Costed Risk Provision requested Y/N	Confidence in the estimation	Mitigation actions Mitigating actions	Mitigation cost (£)	on post-	Impact i Classificat ion post- mitigation	Costed impact post- mitigation (£)		CRP used to date	Use of CRP	Ownership Date raised	Action Named Departmental Risk Manager/ Coordinator	(Named	Date Closed OR/ Realised & moved to Issues	Comment(s)
R2 5	(2) Financial	Unforseen technical issues, delays and/or inaccurate or incomplete project estimates,including inflationary issues, lead to budget increases.	If an estimate is found to be inaccurate or incomplete, more funding and/or time resource would be needed to rectify the issue or fund/ underwrite the shortfall. Possible scenarios could be increase in estimated inflationary uplifts and unforseen technical engineering issues requiring additional funding.	Possible	Serious	6	£625,000.00	Y - for costed impact post-miligation	B – Fairly Confident	* Undertake regular cost reviews via interim submissions from highways term contractor. * regular traking of expenditure so any costpredicted cost overrun: can be effectively managed, .	£0.0	D Possible	Serious	£325,000.0	6	£0.00	Use of CRP could include but is not limited to additional staff time, fees, external consultants, labour, works and utility costs to accommodate	al 04/09/2025 D	Gillian Howard, Policy and Projects	George Wright, Policy and Projects		This is a major City project. The works required are using well established rates and costs through the City's existing highways term contractor. However, the current financic climate means contract uplif changes to stone suppliers an increases in other costs are possible. This may include an upcoming rate/ baxters/RPI changes. Officers will continumonitor this and mitigate as t as possible. Also, its possible cestimate could contain an omission and this risk also couthis possibility.
R4 5	(10) Physical	Unexpected utility diversions, alterations and/or technical difficulties impact on project delivery and/ or costs	Unforeseen delay and/or costs from utility companies	Possible	Major	12	£450,000.00	Y - for costed impact post-mitigation	B – Fairly Confident	Ensure due New Road and Street Works Act process is followed	£0.0	D Unlikely	Major	£125,000.0	0 8		Use of CRP could include but is not limited to additional staff time, fees, externa consultants, labour, works and utility costs to accommodate	il 04/09/2025 D	Gillian Howard, Policy and Projects	George Wright, Policy and Projects		Utility companies have a tendancy to over estimate a C4 stage but there remains o high risk that in major project such as this the estimate is inaccurate and additional ware required. Issues with Than Water and UKPN remain ope
R4 5	(3) Reputation	There is a potential that different elements of the scheme could impact negatively on some of the protected characteristics under the equalities act.	Reputational impact	Unlikely	Minor	2	£0.00	N	B – Fairly Confident	The project proposals have been subject to a full Equality Analysis	£0.0	0 Unlikely	Minor	£0.0	0 2			24/09/2024	Gillian Howard, Policy and Projects	George Wright, Policy and Projects		EQ analysis concluded the project proposals would prov an overall benefit to the protected characteristics asessed.